


Superior Court of Arizona

---

**Maricopa County  
Juvenile Probation  
Department**



**2003  
Data Book**


Includes Comparison Data 1999 to 2003

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>MARICOPA COUNTY JUVENILE PROBATION ORGANIZATIONAL CHART.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>JUVENILE PROBATION PERSONNEL.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>HOW MARICOPA COUNTY'S JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>STATE AND COUNTY STATISTICS/JUVENILE POPULATION .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>STATISTICAL SUMMARY CHART .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FINANCIAL SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999– 2003 .....</b>	<b>11</b>
COMPLAINTS/JUVENILES .....	11
TYPE OF COMPLAINT .....	12
TEN MOST COMMON OFFENSES .....	13
COMPARISON – MOST COMMON OFFENSES 1999 – 2003 .....	13
SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE .....	14
<b>DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003.....</b>	<b>22</b>
NON-COURT DISPOSITIONS .....	22
TRAFFIC COURT DISPOSITIONS.....	22
COURT DISPOSITIONS .....	23
ADULT CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS .....	23
<b>REFERRAL SOURCE.....</b>	<b>24</b>
SOURCE OF COMPLAINT 2003.....	24
SOURCE OF COMPLAINTS AND TYPE OF OFFENSE.....	25
MOST FREQUENT COMPLAINT SOURCES – ANNUAL CHANGES .....	26
<b>CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED.....</b>	<b>27</b>
GENDER.....	27
AGE AT TIME OF COMPLAINT .....	28
ETHNICITY .....	29
SCHOOL STATUS.....	30
NUMBER OF PREVIOUS COMPLAINTS.....	31
<b>FIRST TIME OFFENDERS.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>DETENTION .....</b>	<b>33</b>
JUVENILES BROUGHT TO DETENTION SCREENING AND JUVENILES DETAINED .....	33
A DAY IN DETENTION – DURANGO FACILITY – 12-01-2003 .....	34
A DAY IN DETENTION – SOUTHEAST FACILITY – 12-01-2003.....	35
<b>HOME DETENTION.....</b>	<b>36</b>
HOME DETENTION POPULATION.....	36
A DAY ON HOME DETENTION – 12-01-2003 .....	37
<b>PROBATION .....</b>	<b>38</b>
PROBATION STATISTICS 1999 – 2003 .....	38
A DAY ON STANDARD PROBATION 12-01-2003 .....	39
A DAY ON JUVENILE INTENSIVE PROBATION (JIPS) 12-01-2003 .....	40

<b>ACCOUNTABILITY .....</b>	<b>41</b>
MONETARY PENALTY 1999 – 2003 .....	41
RESTITUTION 1999 - 2003 .....	41
WORK HOURS 1999-2003.....	42
EDUCATIONAL COUNSELING PROGRAMS 1999 - 2003.....	42
OTHER CONSEQUENCES 1999 - 2003.....	42
<b>TRENDS: COMMITMENTS AND ADULT PROSECUTIONS .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>COMMITMENT PROFILE 2003.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS.....</b>	<b>45</b>
DIRECT FILES .....	45
REMANDS .....	46
<b>DIVERSION.....</b>	<b>47</b>
CONSEQUENCES.....	48
JUVENILES ASSIGNED TO DIVERSION .....	49
<b>TREATMENT.....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>RECIDIVISM .....</b>	<b>51</b>
PROBABILITY OF NOT GETTING A NEW OFFENSE WITHIN ONE YEAR.....	51
DIVERSION .....	52
PROBATION.....	53
CLASS OF 2003 .....	54
<b>VICTIMS AND RESTITUTION.....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>COURT ACTIVITY .....</b>	<b>56</b>
2003 PETITIONS FILED .....	56
2003 COURT HEARING SUMMARY.....	57
COURT HEARINGS SCHEDULED 1999 – 2003.....	58
<b>TOP 20 ZIP CODES.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>MARICOPA COUNTY ZIP CODES OF RESIDENCE BY CITY FOR 2003 REFERRALS.....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>ARIZONA COUNTIES ZIP CODES FOR 2003 REFERRALS.....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED .....</b>	<b>64</b>
ELEMENTARY DISTRICTS.....	64
HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS .....	65
UNIFIED DISTRICTS .....	65
MISCELLANEOUS SCHOOLS .....	65
<b>MAPS BY ZIP CODE .....</b>	<b>66</b>
JUVENILE REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE .....	66
JUVENILE VIOLENT REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE .....	67
JUVENILE DRUG REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE .....	68


# MARICOPA COUNTY JUVENILE PROBATION ORGANIZATIONAL CHART




## JUVENILE PROBATION PERSONNEL

	Probation Officers	Youth Supervisors	Support Staff/ Technical Staff	On-Call Staff	Total
Probation Services	177	22	20	1	220
JIPS	32	35	7	0	74
Community Services	106	4	10	0	120
Detention	28	219	16	99	362
Research & Planning	0	0	29	0	29
Executive/Administrative	10	0	40	2	52
<b>Total All Divisions</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>857</b>

# HOW MARICOPA COUNTY'S JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS




## STATE AND COUNTY STATISTICS/JUVENILE POPULATION



- Arizona is geographically the 6<sup>th</sup> largest state in the nation with 113,642 square miles and a population of 5,319,895 in 15 counties.
- Maricopa County is the 4<sup>th</sup> most populated county in the nation with 9,204 square miles, and a population of 3,192,195 (DES estimate, July 2002).
- Approximately 60% of the state's population resides in Maricopa County.
- There are 347 residents per square mile.
- Phoenix (population 1,387,670) is the county seat, state capital, and the largest city in the state.
- Other major cities in Maricopa County are: Mesa (434,215), Scottsdale (217,555); Glendale (230,610); Tempe (159,615), Chandler (208,450); Gilbert (151,290); and Peoria (126,410).

## STATISTICAL SUMMARY CHART



## WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY

<b>Juvenile Population</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Estimate of County Population Under 18	755,914	828,003	860,352	861,454	878,683
Estimate of County Population 8 through 17	423,984	460,002	477,973	461,090	470,311

<b>Complaints Received</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Incorrigibility/Delinquent Complaints (Referrals) Received	33,592	35,982	36,732	34,858	34,430
Juveniles Referred	22,928	24,630	25,774	24,718	24,540
Complaints (Referrals) per Juvenile	1.46	1.46	1.42	1.41	1.4

<b>Dispositions</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Juveniles Placed on Standard Probation	4,971	5,569	5,414	4,990	4,685
Complaints Prior to Probation (Average)	2.96	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.2
Juveniles on Standard Probation at End of Year	4,446	5,059	4,778	4,427	4,159
Juveniles Under Supervision Per Probation Officer at End of Year (Average)	37	39	31	28	27
Placements: Day and Evening Care	1,021	828	489	486	262
Placements: Residential	727	436	551	551	495
Juveniles Committed to Dept. of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC)	445	416	341	293	362
Complaints Prior to Commitment (Average)	8.8	8.8	8.2	8.3	8.5
Juveniles Remanded to Adult Court	71	53	35	63	54
Juveniles Filed Directly in Criminal (Adult) Court	415	375	340	278	241
Complaints Prior to Remand (Average)	7.7	7.8	7.8	5.9	6.6

<b>Detention</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Juveniles Brought to Detention	10,652	10,616	10,484	10,214	10,097
Juveniles Detained	9,772	10,159	10,069	9,850	9,352
Percent Detained	91.70%	95.70%	96.00%	96.40%	92.62%
Average Daily Population	376	397	404	401	411
Detention Capacity at Year End	317	357	357	357	357
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	14	14	14.4	14.7	15.5



## WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY

<b>Alternatives to Detention: Home Detention</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Juveniles Placed in Home Detention (Includes Electric Monitoring)	1,837	2,369	2,446	2,489	2,466
Average Daily Population	256	283	285	280	275
Avg. Length of Stay in Home Detention (Days)	52	45	42	41.3	43

<b>Petitions Filed</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Adoption	936	999	956	935	929
Severance	500	323	288	465	246
Dependency	1,063	1,003	952	1,145	1,621
Delinquency/Incorrigibility	15,218	17,355	15,847	14,400	14,244
Adult Criminal	485	396	365	365	258
Miscellaneous	525	520	534	532	660
<b>Total Petitions Filed</b>	<b>18,727</b>	<b>20,596</b>	<b>18,942</b>	<b>17,642</b>	<b>17,958</b>

<b>Court Hearings Scheduled</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Adoption	1,592	1,755	1,484	1,391	1,603
Severance	2,431	1,604	1,081	1,090	947
Dependency	20,739	18,730	18,382	20,106	25,360
Delinquency/Incorrigibility	50,550	55,591	54,896	53,295	51,676
Miscellaneous	1,264	827	973	784	745
<b>Total Hearings Scheduled</b>	<b>76,576</b>	<b>78,507</b>	<b>76,816</b>	<b>76,666</b>	<b>80,331</b>

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

County	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004
General Fund	17,583,984	9,546,027	10,690,320	10,411,176	11,038,061	11,937,180
Detention Fund	-	11,711,277	12,302,227	12,571,824	13,042,976	18,784,874
Total	17,583,984	21,257,304	22,992,547	22,983,000	24,081,036	30,722,054

State Grants	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004
Arizona Community Foundation	-	-	15,000	10,000	0	10,000
Court Improvement	44,722	39,899	37,928	39,846	39,522	37,640
Diversion Consequences	1,194,686	1,461,796	1,617,256	1,419,245	1,414,460	1,771,074
Diversion Intake	1,138,132	1,171,379	1,369,784	1,339,173	1,347,813	1,543,558
Drug Court				83,008	69,542	43,299
Family Counseling	311,001	318,358	326,108	355,919	352,654	342,439
First Step/Step Ahead	6,130	103,455	89,456	32,704	-	
First Cuts	-	-	299,991	407,726	395,744	407,628
JIPS	3,278,074	3,535,409	3,481,468	3,630,917	3,704,361	3,659,426
JIPS Treatment Services	939,656	1,039,000	810,000	829,800	415,590	404,000
JIPS VIPS	331,217	390,485	332,000	298,053	23,553	-
JTSF Treatment (formerly Foster Care)	10,783,551	11,295,270	10,958,079	11,601,270	9,141,145	11,169,744
Juvenile Crime Reduction Fund	106,197	2,000	16,382	*	191,207	-
Juvenile Transfer Grant				44,394	35,962	-
Juvenile Treatment Services	130,657	158,431	229,423	240,076	235,310	231,495
Safe Schools	927,145	987,688	1,129,304	1,283,588	1,409,198	1,515,837
Standard Probation	3,743,281	3,867,280	3,842,334	3,910,574	3,732,186	3,840,310
Two Hundred Kids				46,197	-	-
Victims Rights	127,303	129,274	134,483	131,646	127,700	119,400
Voc Rehab	7,581	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
Total	23,069,333	24,569,724	24,758,996	25,774,136	22,705,946	25,165,850

\* Juvenile Crime Reduction Fund shown as the Court Improvement, and Juvenile Transfer Grants in FY02

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY


<b>Federal Grants</b>	<b>1998-1999</b>	<b>1999-2000</b>	<b>2000-2001</b>	<b>2001-2002</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>	<b>2003-2004</b>
Aftercare	-	-	-	-	6,918	83,333
BJA	83,245	143,405	574,703	749,677	407,794	300,928
Bulletproof Vest	-	-	-	10,624	4,679	18,915
Drug Court	122,413	40,849	-	15,690	79,135	127,391
JAIBG		511,294	781,085	3,429,515	1,905,162	1,805,305
Project Payback	-	-	-	-	34,109	-
SOAR				133,137	88,642	171,440
Food & Nutrition	410,978	382,670	436,251	458,064	544,090	525,000
School Violence Prevention	-	-	-	117,054	266,613	-
Sunnyslope Action Initiative	-	-	-	260,762	55,905	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>616,636</b>	<b>1,078,218</b>	<b>1,792,039</b>	<b>5,174,523</b>	<b>3,393,048</b>	<b>3,032,312</b>

<b>Service Fees</b>	<b>1998-1999</b>	<b>1999-2000</b>	<b>2000-2001</b>	<b>2001-2002</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>	<b>2003-2004</b>
Probation Fees	721,298	1,111,347	1,263,530	1,009,894	778,399	946,528
Diversion Fees	30,802	267,462	393,300	196,237	183,486	268,425
Juvenile Probation Capital Fund	-	-	-	325,924	506,121	781,398
Juvenile Restitution Fund	-	-	-	-	1,144	50,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>752,100</b>	<b>1,378,809</b>	<b>1,656,830</b>	<b>1,532,055</b>	<b>1,469,149</b>	<b>2,046,351</b>

	<b>1998-1999</b>	<b>1999-2000</b>	<b>2000-2001</b>	<b>2001-2002</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>	<b>2003-2004</b>
All Grants and Fees	24,438,069	27,026,751	28,207,865	32,480,714	27,568,143	30,244,513
County Funds	17,583,984	21,257,304	22,992,547	22,983,000	24,081,036	30,722,054
<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>42,022,053</b>	<b>48,284,055</b>	<b>51,200,412</b>	<b>55,463,714</b>	<b>51,649,180</b>	<b>60,966,567</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999– 2003


### COMPLAINTS/JUVENILES



In 2003, there were an estimated 470,311 juveniles between the ages of 8 and 17 living in Maricopa County. In any given year, about 5% of the juvenile population between the ages of 8 and 17 of Maricopa County are referred at least once to the Court Center. That's about one juvenile in every 19. In 2003, there were 34,430 referrals generated by 24,540 juveniles, which computes to 1.40 referrals per juvenile. In 1996 and 1997 more than 39,000 complaints were sent to the Juvenile Court. Since then, the number of complaints has dropped by about 5000, more than 10%. The average number of complaints per juvenile (1.40) has dropped slightly – it was 1.57 in 1997.

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### TYPE OF COMPLAINT



There are four types of complaints:

- Detained: child is detained at detention center.
- Not Detained: child is brought to detention center, screened and released for follow-up.
- Paper: report is sent to the Probation Department and the County Attorney for processing.
- Citation: child is issued a citation (ticket).

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Citation	9,656	12,341	12,585	12,559	12,552
	28.71%	34.21%	34.30%	36.03%	36.46%
Detained	3,719	4,238	4,404	4,111	3,609
	11.05%	11.76%	12.00%	11.79%	10.48%
Not Detained	1,454	1,221	819	362	723
	4.32%	3.39%	2.20%	1.04%	2.10%
Paper Referral	18,812	18,213	18,918	17,826	17,546
	55.91%	50.57%	51.50%	51.14%	50.96%
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,641</b>	<b>36,013</b>	<b>36,726</b>	<b>34,858</b>	<b>34,430</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### TEN MOST COMMON OFFENSES

Offenses	Total	
Truancy	3,939	11.3%
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	3,401	9.8%
Violation of Probation	3,147	9.0%
Curfew	2,519	7.2%
Alcohol	2,837	8.1%
Traffic Violation	2,685	7.7%
Possess Marijuana	1,580	4.5%
Assault - Domestic Violence	1,099	3.2%
Assault - Simple	1,099	3.2%
Runaway	783	2.2%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>23,089</b>	<b>66.2%</b>

The frequency of various offenses has changed noticeably in recent years. Most of these changes are the results of program changes made by the Juvenile Court. Truancy was the most common offense in 2003. Truancy complaints have almost doubled since 1998 (2,003) because the Juvenile Probation Department has been working with schools to develop programs for truants. Research has shown that truancy is a significant pre-cursor to more serious delinquent behavior.

Incorrigibility, which had been a top ten offense nearly every year-running from 800 to 1000 each year-dropped from the top ten in 2002, and to a low of only 1 in 2003. In late 2001, the Probation Department started the FINS program for families with parent-child relation problems. Its focus is to give the family the tools to solve their problems without having to involve the juvenile court or identify the child as incorrigible.


Traffic violations have increased by more than 2,000 since 1997 (297) because the Juvenile Court has changed reporting procedures for these offenses. They are now reported first to the Juvenile Court and then assigned to city and justice courts. Formerly they were reported only to the limited jurisdiction courts.

### COMPARISON – MOST COMMON OFFENSES 1999 – 2003

Offense	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Truancy	2,746	4,708	5,117	4,413	3,939
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	4,168	3,861	3,775	3,529	3,401
Violation of Probation	2,979	3,277	2,633	3,482	3,147
Curfew	4,030	3,270	3,414	2,458	2,519
Alcohol	1,606	2,064	2,458	2,540	2,837
Traffic Violation	1,059	2,027	2,365	2,610	2,685
Possess Marijuana	1,580	1,326	1,538	1,274	1,580
Assault - Domestic Violence	900	1,081	1,060	1,063	1,099
Assault - Simple	1,102	1,053	1,044	1,012	1,099
Runaway	822	877	738	862	783
<b>Total</b>	<b>20,992</b>	<b>23,544</b>	<b>24,142</b>	<b>23,243</b>	<b>23,089</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE



There are literally hundreds of different types of offenses that are sent to the Juvenile Court each year. To analyze these offenses more efficiently we have put each offense into one of nine severity types. Each type classifies an offense as to its type and severity. Here are the nine types in descending order of severity.







<b><u>Violent</u></b>	These are felony offenses against persons such as murder, aggravated assault, or robbery.
<b><u>Felony Theft</u></b>	These are felony offenses in which property is damaged or stolen, and the property is worth more than \$250. Examples are burglary, theft, forgery, criminal damage, or graffiti.
<b><u>Obstruction</u></b>	These offenses are illegal acts that impede the enforcement of justice. For juveniles, seventy five percent of these offenses are violation of the terms of probation.
<b><u>Fighting</u></b>	These are misdemeanor offenses against persons, such as simple assault.
<b><u>Drugs</u></b>	These are offenses that involve the use or sale of any drug, and are almost always felonies. Paint and glue sniffing are included here.
<b><u>Public Peace *</u></b>	These offenses are generally misdemeanors, and involve acts like disorderly conduct, giving false information, trespass, weapons misconduct, and possession of alcohol.
<b><u>Petty Theft</u></b>	These are property offenses in which the property is worth less than \$250. The most common offenses are shoplifting and criminal damage.
<b><u>Status *</u></b>	These offenses are acts that are illegal only because a juvenile commits them: incorrigibility, truancy, runaway, and curfew.
<b><u>Administrative</u></b>	These are not illegal offenses, but are creations of the court which help record the court's involvement with a juvenile in certain situations, such as holding a juvenile for another jurisdiction or agency, or conducting a hearing on a matter transferred from another jurisdiction.

\* In 1998, possession or use of alcohol was re-classified from a status offense to a misdemeanor and was moved to Public Peace severity type from Status severity type.

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE



The graphs on this and the next page show the trends among offenses in the past five years. Felony offenses (Violent, Felony Theft, and Drugs) have remained at the same level. Obstruction offenses have increased because of a rise in violation of probation, which accounts for 92% of obstruction offenses. Public Peace offenses have increased because of the better reporting of traffic offenses (see page 13) and an increase in the offenses of Possession of Alcohol and Driving while Intoxicated





## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003


### SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE




## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER 1,000 JUVENILES 1999 – 2003

### SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE


**Violent Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**




**Felony Theft Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**



**Obstruction Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**




**Fighting Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**




## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER 1,000 JUVENILES 1999 – 2003

### SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE


**Drug Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**




**Public Peace Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**



**Petty Theft Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**



**Status Complaints per 1,000 Juveniles**



## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

<b>Violent Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Agg Assault-Domestic Violence	165	151	145	168	178
Aggravated Assault	474	425	427	415	416
Aggravated Assault w. Weapon	230	210	218	234	203
Arson-Occupied Structure	16	27	24	30	13
Child Abuse - Felony	5	6	4	11	4
Child Molest	97	84	97	83	87
Custodial Interference-Felony	0	1	1	2	0
Drive By Shooting	21	26	10	26	12
Gang/Syndicate Participation	0	5	0	0	0
Harrassment/Terrorism - Felony	0	2	0	2	0
Kidnap	22	10	18	19	17
Murder/Homicide/Manslaughter	41	12	23	19	19
Obscenity	0	1	0	0	0
Poisoning	2	0	2	3	5
Robbery	99	108	83	77	75
Robbery - Armed	113	103	146	123	127
Sexual Abuse - Felony	66	90	102	118	105
Sexual Assault - Felony	26	17	26	20	25
Threats - Felony	64	46	33	52	56
<b>Violent Totals</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>1,324</b>	<b>1,359</b>	<b>1,402</b>	<b>1,342</b>

<b>Felony Theft Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Arson-Unoccupied Structure-Felony	20	21	28	20	17
Burglary 1 - Armed	61	61	58	35	63
Burglary 2 - Residential	516	466	414	393	423
Burglary 3 - Non-residential	622	739	688	705	624
Burglary-Possession Tools - Felony	10	26	18	21	21
Chop Shop Participation	1	0	0	2	2
Credit Card - Theft/Fraud-Felony	36	44	43	45	34
Criminal Damage-Dom Violence-Felony	38	66	88	73	84
Criminal Damage - Felony	334	314	328	356	377
Criminal Damage-Aggravated-Felony	142	109	111	120	133
Criminal Damage-Graffiti-Felony	71	60	66	82	51
Extortion - Felony	2	3	1	2	1
Forgery - Felony	111	92	108	88	79
Fraud	62	44	93	52	28
Littering/Polluting - Felony	2	0	0	0	2
Possess Stolen Property-Felony	95	74	66	49	57
Rented Property Misuse-Felony	1	0	0	0	0
Shoplifting - Felony	146	121	151	206	133
Taking Identity of Another	0	0	0	6	0
Theft - Felony	347	342	307	324	208
Theft from Mail - Felony	2	1	3	4	7
Theft Means of Transportation	516	596	594	599	693
Theft of Vehicle - Felony	37	11	19	8	6
Unlawful Use Transportation-Felony	302	303	362	360	321
<b>Felony Theft Totals</b>	<b>3,474</b>	<b>3,493</b>	<b>3,546</b>	<b>3,550</b>	<b>3,364</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

<b>Obstruction Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Bribery - Felony	0	0	1	0	0
Compound Felony	0	0	0	0	0
City Ordinance	0	0	4	0	0
Contempt of Court	3	1	1	1	0
Contraband	0	0	6	0	0
Escape	46	40	63	86	106
Failure to Obey Police	34	15	21	40	34
Hindering Prosecution	23	33	32	25	17
Obstruct Criminal Investigation	14	14	16	10	13
Obstruct Government Operations	15	27	15	13	18
Resisting Arrest	78	73	88	58	72
Violation of Probation	3,388	2,978	3,277	3,482	3,147
<b>Obstruction Offenses</b>	<b>3,601</b>	<b>3,181</b>	<b>3,524</b>	<b>3,715</b>	<b>3,407</b>

<b>Fighting Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Aggravated Assault-Participate	2	3	2	1	3
Assault - Domestic Violence	900	1,081	1,060	1,063	1,099
Assault - Simple	1,102	1,053	1,044	1,012	1,099
Custodial Interference-Misdemeanor	1	1	0	0	0
Endangerment	18	18	14	21	31
Threats - Misdemeanor	263	242	253	198	166
Unlawful Imprisonment-Misdemeanor	1	0	2	0	3
<b>Fighting Totals</b>	<b>2,287</b>	<b>2,398</b>	<b>2,375</b>	<b>2,295</b>	<b>2,401</b>

<b>Drug Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Contraband Drugs	5	5	0	0	0
Dangerous Drugs	136	81	139	136	193
Drug Paraphernalia	475	446	496	424	448
Drugs on School Grounds	239	221	302	163	220
Illegal Vapors	69	64	52	54	28
Imitation Substances	1	6	8	1	0
Involving Minor in Drugs	1	1	2	1	0
Narcotics -Possess/Sell	187	143	143	108	94
Possess Marijuana	1,580	1,326	1,538	1,274	1,580
Possess Marijuana for Sale	69	55	63	61	51
Prescription Drugs	12	17	34	37	31
Using Facilities for Drugs	0	1	0	0	0
<b>Drug Totals</b>	<b>2,774</b>	<b>2,366</b>	<b>2,777</b>	<b>2,259</b>	<b>2,645</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

<b>Public Peace Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Alcohol	1,621	2,064	2,458	2,598	2,837
Boating Offense	1	27	75	40	73
City Ordinance	42	129	241	304	179
Contraband in Secure Facility	3	1	10	3	4
Criminal Nuisance	2	15	14	2	26
Criminal Trespass	648	543	645	583	548
Cruelty to Animals	2	7	6	3	8
Discharge Firearm-Non-Res Bldg	2	1	2	2	0
Disorderly Conduct	688	591	500	512	495
Dist Peace-Domestic Violence	374	372	367	363	336
Driving While Intoxicated	87	161	226	229	245
Explosives Misconduct	7	8	2	2	5
False Report	323	266	254	255	319
Felony Flight	21	24	27	20	20
Firearms Possession by a Minor	69	31	21	18	40
Fireworks	18	25	31	13	21
Gambling	2	0	0	0	0
Game and Fish	10	19	29	43	26
Gang/Syndicate Participation	23	25	18	14	8
Graffiti Tools	20	9	8	6	12
Interfere w Judicial Proc.	57	44	58	54	48
Leaving an Accident	19	27	22	14	30
Lewd Behavior - Felony	6	3	5	6	11
Lewd Behavior - Misdemeanor	13	29	18	21	25
Loitering	8	7	1	5	5
Neglect/Exploit a Minor	4	4	12	6	4
Obscenity	0	2	0	2	1
Prostitution	27	42	23	39	51
Reckless Burning	26	26	38	58	46
School Interference	91	133	284	180	214
Stalking	0	0	0	2	1
Teacher Abuse	0	1	0	0	0
Tobacco	476	611	517	386	389
Traffic Violation	1,072	2,028	2,365	2,612	2,685
Unlawful use of Telephone	18	30	21	30	29
Weapons Misconduct - Felony	77	66	86	71	73
Weapons Misconduct - Gang	31	30	35	28	32
Weapons Misconduct - Misdemeanor	86	80	92	83	63
<b>Public Peace Totals</b>	<b>5,974</b>	<b>7,481</b>	<b>8,511</b>	<b>8,607</b>	<b>8,909</b>

## COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

<b>Petty Theft Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Arson-Unoccupied Structure - Misdemeanor	7	4	2	3	1
Burglary 3 - Non-Residential	0	1	3	4	5
Credit Card - Theft/Fraud - Misdemeanor	1	7	3	5	5
Criminal Damage-Dom Violence(M)	221	292	325	322	349
Criminal Damage - Misdemeanor	395	385	340	316	293
Criminal Damage-Aggr-Misdemeanor	0	1	0	0	0
Criminal Damage-Graffiti-Misdemeanor	125	104	123	158	133
Forgery - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Fraud	0	0	1	0	1
Littering/Polluting - Misdemeanor	12	24	19	16	15
Possess Stolen Property - Misdemeanor	49	44	41	27	17
Rented Property Misuse-Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	4,168	3,861	3,775	3,529	3,401
Theft - Misdemeanor	778	659	544	513	548
Theft from Mail - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Theft of Vehicle - Misdemeanor	0	1	0	0	0
Unlawful Use Transportation Misdemeanor	0	1	2	4	0
<b>Petty Theft Totals</b>	<b>5,756</b>	<b>5,384</b>	<b>5,178</b>	<b>4,897</b>	<b>4,768</b>

<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Curfew	4,043	3,270	2,633	2,458	2,519
Incorrigible	822	877	481	27	1
Runaway	792	812	738	862	783
Runaway - FOJ	133	122	104	100	113
Truancy	2,748	4,708	5,117	4,413	3,939
<b>Status Totals</b>	<b>8,538</b>	<b>9,789</b>	<b>9,073</b>	<b>7,860</b>	<b>7,355</b>

<b>Administrative Offenses</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
Administrative	4	6	7	14	1
Courtesy Supervision	52	63	46	44	38
Transfer for Hearing	13	15	17	33	29
Transfer-Probation Supervision	76	97	107	105	107
Warrant	64	46	77	77	64
<b>Administrative Totals</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>239</b>

## DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### NON-COURT DISPOSITIONS

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Administrative</b>	Invalid	10	10	9	7	1
	Withdrawn	1	0	0	1	3
	Non-Complaint Completed	0	0	1	1	0
	Released (Traffic or Delinquency Warrant)	35	30	25	40	32
<b>Record Only</b>	Not Interviewed	392	426	490	317	275
	Pending Adult	0	2	2	7	11
	Referred to DES	0	0	0	0	0
	Referred - Diversion Program	43	31	12	6	0
	County Attorney Choose Not To File	1,489	1,623	1,631	1,559	1,614
	Referred Limited Jurisdiction Court	2	1	41	160	101
	Referred - Other Agency	0	0	1	0	0
	Referred - Other Jurisdiction	77	85	39	33	0
	Referred - Police Agency	980	985	874	840	919
	Referred-ADJC-Az Dept Juv Corrections	80	38	18	26	56
	Victim Refuses Prosecution	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Interviewed</b>	Adjusted Counsel & Warn	29	28	4	3	0
	Adjusted – Conditional	8,908	9,049	9,157	9,328	8,823
	Adjusted- Denied Charge	5	3	4	8	7
	Adjusted – From Other Jurisdiction	146	84	109	104	124
	Adjusted - Not Filed by County Attorney	789	1,158	1,117	882	754
	Adjusted	678	797	915	650	403
	Adjusted – Plea	121	55	29	38	31
	Adjusted - VOP	17	13	14	7	11
<b>Non Court</b>	Loss of Jurisdiction	1,431	1,646	1,920	1,814	949
<b>Total Non-Court Dispositions</b>		<b>15,223</b>	<b>16,064</b>	<b>16,412</b>	<b>15,831</b>	<b>14,114</b>

### TRAFFIC COURT DISPOSITIONS

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Traffic</b>	Guilty	1,325	**	**	**	**
	Not Guilty	165	**	**	**	**
<b>Total Traffic Court Dispositions</b>		<b>1,490</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

\*Unresolved was discontinued in 1998.

\*\*The use of a separate traffic court was terminated in 2000. Cases are now handled and counted as either diversion or delinquent petition if warranted.

## DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 1999 – 2003

### COURT DISPOSITIONS

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Dismissed</b>	Dismissed	5,104	6,031	4,991	4,284	4,322
<b>Terminate &amp; Close</b>	Terminate & Close	87	40	115	119	130
<b>Penalty</b>	Penalty	426	378	255	222	170
	Penalty Terminate & Close	6	0	1	2	4
<b>Standard Probation</b>	Probation	4,577	5,121	4,493	3,883	3,796
	Probation with Restitution	386	281	194	290	463
	Continued Probation	2,058	2,275	2,452	2,313	2,328
	Continued Probation - Restitution	91	56	34	63	118
	Courtesy Probation	105	108	125	103	113
<b>Intensive Probation</b>	Probation	346	332	293	262	234
	Probation with Restitution	54	38	18	38	69
	Continued Probation	1,154	1,335	1,316	1,253	916
	Continued Probation - Restitution	96	55	32	75	89
	Courtesy Probation	22	49	26	45	29
<b>ADJC</b>	Committed – ADJC	542	501	380	385	444
	Awarded – ADJC	177	140	153	112	126
<b>Transferred</b>	To Other Jurisdiction	56	114	59	49	98
<b>Remanded</b>	To Adult Court	93	57	51	62	59
<b>Limited Jurisdiction</b>	Guilty	N/A	1,048	986	629	560
	Not Guilty	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Total Court Dispositions</b>		<b>15,380</b>	<b>18,059</b>	<b>15,974</b>	<b>14,189</b>	<b>14,069</b>

### ADULT CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Dismissed</b>	45	23	23	21	5
<b>Probation</b>	71	55	53	46	31
<b>Jail**</b>	195	157	92	82	59
<b>Prison**</b>	117	80	98	58	41
<b>Pending Trial</b>	17	7	46	119	53
<b>Pending Sentencing*</b>	17	61	58	36	20
<b>Total Adult Criminal Dispositions</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>209</b>

\* Direct Files Only

\*\* May include Time on Probation



## REFERRAL SOURCE SOURCE OF COMPLAINT 2003

	Count	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Phoenix Police	9,405	27.32%	27.32%
Mesa Police	3,842	11.16%	38.47%
Schools	3,314	9.62%	48.10%
Probation Officer	3,237	9.40%	57.50%
Tempe Police	2,538	7.37%	64.80%
Glendale Police	2,018	5.86%	70.73%
Chandler Police	1,958	5.69%	76.42%
Maricopa County Sheriff	1,539	4.47%	80.89%
Scottsdale Police	1,405	4.08%	84.97%
Gilbert Police	962	2.79%	87.77%
Peoria Police	758	2.20%	89.97%
Attendance is Mandatory (AIM)	563	1.64%	91.60%
Department of Public Safety	511	1.48%	93.09%
Avondale Police	411	1.19%	94.28%
Surprise Police	402	0.10%	99.84%
Tolleson Police	359	1.04%	96.49%
Other - Law Enforcement	312	0.91%	97.40%
Goodyear Police	245	0.71%	98.11%
Buckeye Police	231	0.67%	98.78%
El Mirage Police	228	0.66%	99.44%
Youngtown Police	53	0.15%	99.60%
Wickenburg Police	49	0.14%	99.74%
Salt River Police	36	0.10%	99.84%
Dept of Juvenile Corrections	18	0.05%	99.90%
Postal Inspector	12	0.03%	99.93%
Fountain Hills Marshall	6	0.02%	99.97%
Gila Bend Police	6	0.02%	99.95%
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	4	0.01%	99.98%
Court	4	0.01%	99.99%
Paradise Valley Police	3	0.01%	100.00%
Parents	1	0.00%	100.00%
Federal Agency	-	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>34,430</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>100%</b>

# REFERRAL SOURCE

## SOURCE OF COMPLAINTS AND TYPE OF OFFENSE

	Violent	Felony Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Total
Aim Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	563	0	563
Avondale Police	15	68	3	48	42	103	107	25	0	411
Buckey Police	11	16	0	8	36	110	6	44	0	231
Chandler Police	47	229	5	127	158	586	276	529	1	1,958
Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Dept. of Public Safety	2	26	1	2	23	440	4	10	3	511
El Mirage Police	19	53	1	33	33	59	19	11	0	228
Federal Agency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
Fountain Hills Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
Gila Bend Police	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	6
Goodyear Police	6	37	1	17	27	72	61	22	2	245
Gilbert Police	50	103	2	109	134	296	100	168	0	962
Glendale Police	154	258	34	258	228	625	346	111	4	2,018
Maricopa County Sheriff	65	138	11	125	85	848	172	91	4	1,539
Mesa Police	148	406	13	351	465	1,377	798	274	10	3,842
Other Law Enforcement	5	17	1	5	8	76	17	4	179	312
Other (non law enforcement)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Parents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Peoria Police	43	124	4	56	145	227	122	36	1	758
Phoenix Police	625	1,457	75	874	782	2,376	2,026	1,170	20	9,405
Probation Officer	0	2	3,231	1	0	0	0	3	0	3,237
Paradise Valley Police	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
School	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	3,250	0	3,314
Scottsdale Police	35	168	7	113	159	629	158	133	3	1,405
Dept of Juvenile Corrections	16	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	18
Surprise Police	15	28	4	30	31	143	73	76	2	402
Salt River Police	0	3	0	2	1	15	15	0	0	36
Tolleson Police	15	20	2	33	45	170	23	51	0	359
Tempe Police	67	186	11	194	232	661	434	747	6	2,538
Wickenburg Police	0	5	1	5	9	7	8	14	0	49
Youngtown Police	4	7	0	8	2	10	2	20	0	53
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>3,364</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>2,645</b>	<b>8,909</b>	<b>4,768</b>	<b>7,355</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>34,430</b>

## REFERRAL SOURCE

### MOST FREQUENT COMPLAINT SOURCES – ANNUAL CHANGES


In the last five years, there has been a noticeable shift in the source of complaints. Complaints from the Phoenix Police Department have decreased from 41% of the 1997 total to only 27% in 2003. Referrals from schools (truancy) have increased from 2.3% in 1997 to 9.6% in 2003. In addition there are almost 600 complaints from AIM (Attendance is Mandatory), which is a truancy program run through the City of Phoenix. Truancy complaints now represent about 12% of all complaints – five years ago they were only 5% of the total. The two largest sources of complaints five years ago (Phoenix and Mesa Police Departments) provided about 3,300 fewer complaints in 2003.

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Phoenix	12,099	11,094	10,173	9,341	9,405
	30.58%	28.04%	27.70%	26.80%	27.30%
School	2,327	4,250	4,002	3,530	3,314
	5.88%	10.74%	10.90%	10.50%	9.63%
Mesa	4,045	3,842	3,851	3,786	3,842
	10.22%	9.71%	10.48%	11.24%	11.16%
Probation Officer	2,989	3,287	3,426	3,505	3,237
	8.91%	9.81%	9.32%	10.41%	9.40%
Glendale	2,282	2,484	2,791	2,429	2,018
	6.86%	6.78%	7.60%	7.21%	5.86%
Tempe	2,219	2,166	2,129	2,014	2,538
	6.00%	5.00%	5.80%	5.98%	7.37%
Chandler	1,654	2,002	1,939	2,277	1,958
	4.18%	5.06%	5.28%	6.76%	5.69%
Maricopa County Sheriff	1,275	1,596	1,608	1,453	1,539
	3.22%	4.03%	4.38%	4.31%	4.47%
Scottsdale	908	1,025	1,267	1,439	1,405
	2.29%	2.59%	3.45%	4.27%	4.08%
Peoria	633	786	1,043	846	758
	1.60%	1.99%	2.84%	2.51%	2.20%
Gilbert	689	683	887	980	962
	1.74%	1.73%	2.41%	2.91%	2.79%
Attendance is Mandatory (AIM)	35	12	623	684	563
	0.09%	0.03%	1.69%	1.86%	1.64%
Other Sources	2,521	2,798	3,610	2,574	2,891
	6.37%	7.07%	9.83%	7.64%	8.40%
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,676</b>	<b>36,025</b>	<b>36,726</b>	<b>34,858</b>	<b>34,430</b>

## CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

### GENDER

In 2003, 29.3% of the complaints received involved girls. This is a slight decrease from the last few years in which just over 30% of complaints involved girls. Girls are most likely to be involved in status offenses, petty theft, or public peace offenses.



	Violent	Felony Theft	Obstruction	Fighting	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Female	220	497	840	819	465	2,312	1,715	3,144	67	10,079
Male	1,122	2,867	2,567	1,582	2,180	6,597	3,053	4,211	172	24,351
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>3,364</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>2,645</b>	<b>8,909</b>	<b>4,768</b>	<b>7,355</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>34,430</b>


## CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

### AGE AT TIME OF COMPLAINT

The older a juvenile is the more likely he is to generate a complaint

- 25.4% of complaints involve 17 year olds
- 68% of complaints involve juveniles 15 and older
- Juveniles less than 14 are involved in only 17% of Complaints

However, juveniles who get their first complaint at a younger age will eventually get more complaints than juveniles who get their first complaint at a later age.




Age at Referral	Violent	Felony Theft	Obstruction	Fighting	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
8 Years	4	7	0	9	0	7	9	52	0	88
9 Years	9	20	0	13	0	6	35	93	0	176
10 Years	14	27	0	44	5	15	61	114	0	280
11 Years	33	73	5	71	10	48	136	187	1	564
12 Years	82	155	33	158	69	131	301	512	3	1,444
13 Years	137	313	138	288	187	330	597	1,144	15	3,149
14 Years	214	535	427	422	325	733	811	1,800	26	5,293
15 Years	273	624	806	467	533	1,389	940	1,890	53	6,975
16 Years	273	812	936	472	704	2,555	1,008	874	82	7,716
17 Years	303	798	1,062	457	812	3,695	870	689	59	8,745
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>3,364</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>2,645</b>	<b>8,909</b>	<b>4,768</b>	<b>7,355</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>34,430</b>


## CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

### ETHNICITY

The data on this page shows the ethnic breakdown of complaints received in 2003 and compares it with the ethnic distribution of juveniles ages 8 through 17 in Maricopa County. The bar graph shows that Anglo (Caucasian, but not Hispanic) juveniles account for 57% of the population but only 48% of the complaints. Hispanic shows just the reverse – 33% of the population but 39% of the complaints. Blacks have a much higher share of the complaints than their share of the population (9% of the complaints to only 5% of the population). Asians produce the fewest complaints in comparison to their share of the population. This distribution of complaints across ethnic groups has remained relatively constant in recent years.




**Ethnic Breakdown of Complaints and Juvenile Population**



	Violent	Felony Theft	Obstruct	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Black	211	348	327	325	187	525	677	605	17	3,222
Anglo	547	1,414	1,520	1,222	1,454	5,093	2,299	2,884	135	16,568
Asian	5	11	13	12	7	35	32	46	0	161
Hispanic	532	1,490	1,436	773	916	3,041	1,619	3,366	69	13,242
Native American	36	91	91	55	65	136	113	211	16	814
Other	8	6	13	9	10	25	16	41	1	129
Unknown	3	4	7	5	6	54	12	202	1	294
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>3,364</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>2,645</b>	<b>8,909</b>	<b>4,768</b>	<b>7,355</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>34,430</b>

## CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED


### SCHOOL STATUS



	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Juveniles Attending School	23,684	25,885	26,315	24,372	23,275
%Juveniles Attending School When Referred	70.4%	71.8%	71.6%	69.9%	67.6%

## CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

### NUMBER OF PREVIOUS COMPLAINTS








	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No Previous	14,852	43.14%	43.14%
1 Previous	6,468	18.79%	61.92%
2 Previous	3,760	10.92%	72.84%
3 Previous	2,476	7.19%	80.06%
4 Previous	1,777	5.16%	85.20%
5 Previous	1,351	3.92%	89.12%
6-10 Previous	2,715	7.89%	97.01%
11 or More Previous	1,031	2.99%	100.00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,430</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



## FIRST TIME OFFENDERS

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
First Offender	13,453	15,061	15,982	15,005	14,852
	38.0%	40.0%	43.5%	43.0%	43.1%
Previous Offender	20,138	20,921	20,744	19,853	19,578
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,591</b>	<b>35,982</b>	<b>36,726</b>	<b>34,858</b>	<b>34,430</b>
	62.0%	60.0%	56.5%	56.9%	56.7%




## DETENTION

### JUVENILES BROUGHT TO DETENTION SCREENING AND JUVENILES DETAINED

In 2003, there were 10,097 requests for juveniles to be detained, which resulted in 9,352 (91.4%) detainments. Requests generally occur when a police officer believes that a juvenile, apparently involved in illegal activity, needs to be confined to protect the community or the juvenile himself.

A juvenile probation officer, assigned to the Detention Screening Unit decides whether to detain or release the juvenile based on Supreme Court Rules and Juvenile Probation Department administrative criteria. Detention screeners are on duty 24 hours a day, every day of the year, at both detention facilities.



Of the 9,850 detainments 3,972 were for delinquent or incorrigible acts. The remaining 5,878 were detained on warrants, court holds, or holds for other jurisdictions or agencies, or as a term of probation.

The average daily population in detention for 2003 was 411, a 9.3% increase since 1999. In December 1999, detention capacity was increased by almost 40% with the addition of 80 beds at Durango.

The average length of stay in 2003 was 15.6 days, about a day and a half longer than in 1999. Approximately 50% of juveniles are released from detention in two days or less, either charges cannot be filed against them, or a judge has released them on reviewing their situation more thoroughly.

Detention is regularly over capacity. In 1999, the average daily population was 30% over capacity. The additional beds at Durango reduced that to 12%, but in 2003 that increased to 15%.


# DETENTION

## A DAY IN DETENTION – DURANGO FACILITY – 12-01-2003


260 Juveniles were Detained at Durango

	Weeks in Detention as of December 1, 2003											Total
	Less Than One	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight	Nine	Ten	
Female	10	10	9	11	5	2	3	2	4	0	5	61
Male	34	38	34	21	15	18	7	7	4	3	18	199
Totals	44	48	43	32	20	20	10	9	8	3	23	260


**Most Severe Pending Offense**




**Ethnicity**



**Age**



**Type of Hearing Pending**




# DETENTION

## A DAY IN DETENTION – SOUTHEAST FACILITY – 12-01-2003


178 Juveniles were Detained at Southeast

	Weeks in Detention as of December 1, 2003											Total
	Less Than One	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight	Nine	Ten	
Female	7	7	3	3	1	2	4	0	2	1	3	33
Male	22	25	24	24	11	5	10	6	4	5	9	145
Totals	29	32	27	27	12	7	14	6	6	6	12	178


**Most Severe Pending Offense**




**Ethnicity**




**Age**



**Type of Hearing Pending**



## HOME DETENTION HOME DETENTION POPULATION



\*JEM is Juvenile Electronic Monitoring

Home Detention is an alternative between structured institutional detention and unconditional release back into the community. Juveniles originally held in the juvenile detention facility, but being allowed to return home pending Court, may be assigned to Home Detention. In this program, the juvenile's freedom is greatly restricted and the Home Detention staff maintain frequent and close contact with the juvenile on an unannounced daily basis to assure compliance with the conditions of release.

Some of these juveniles are also on electronic monitoring (JEM). They are required to wear electronic devices that allow probation staff to determine their location without having direct physical or telephone contact, or disturbing other family members, school personnel, or employers. Probation staff can also have more contacts with each juvenile, which leads to higher compliance rates.

In 2000 the JEM capacity doubled when a second unit was created for the Durango area.


# HOME DETENTION

## A DAY ON HOME DETENTION – 12-01-2003


324 Juveniles were in Home Detention

Most Severe Pending Complaint	Violent	Grand Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Public Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Prob Violation
Southeast	32	30	1	13	12	13	6	2	13
	26.2%	24.6%	0.8%	10.7%	9.8%	10.7%	4.9%	1.6%	10.7%
Durango	25	52	0	9	13	12	7	1	11
	19.2%	40.0%	0.0%	6.9%	10.0%	9.2%	5.4%	0.8%	8.5%
Electronic Monitoring	14	20	1	4	6	14	2	0	11
	19.4%	27.8%	1.4%	5.6%	8.3%	19.4%	2.8%	0.0%	15.3%
Total	71	102	2	26	31	39	15	3	35
	21.9%	31.5%	0.6%	8.0%	9.6%	12.0%	4.6%	0.9%	10.8%

**Gender**



**Ethnicity**






AGE					
		Durango	Electronic Monitoring		
Under 14	18 14.6%	23 17.7%	8 11.3%	49	15.1%
14 Years	27 22.0%	17 13.1%	6 8.5%	50	15.4%
15 Years	25 20.3%	32 24.6%	23 32.4%	80	27.7%
16 Years	31 25.2%	34 26.2%	22 31.0%	87	26.9%
17 Years	22 17.9%	24 18.5%	12 16.9%	58	17.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>123 100%</b>	<b>130 100%</b>	<b>71 100%</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>100%</b>

Weeks on Home Detention					
	Southeast	Durango	Electronic Monitoring	Total	
Less than a Week	10 8.1%	12 9.2%	9 12.7%	31	9.6%
1 Week	27 22.0%	26 20.0%	19 26.8%	72	22.2%
2 Weeks	10 8.1%	19 14.6%	10 14.1%	39	12.0%
3 Weeks	16 13.0%	15 11.5%	7 9.9%	38	11.7%
4 Weeks	6 4.9%	13 10.0%	6 8.5%	25	7.7%
5 Weeks	14 11.4%	11 8.5%	6 8.5%	31	9.6%
6 Weeks	8 6.5%	7 5.4%	4 5.6%	19	5.9%
7 Weeks	11 8.9%	4 3.1%	3 4.2%	18	5.6%
8 Weeks	6 4.9%	3 2.3%	0 0.0%	9	2.8%
9 Weeks	2 1.6%	6 4.6%	2 2.8%	10	3.1%
10 or More Weeks	13 10.6%	14 10.8%	5 7.0%	32	9.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>123 100%</b>	<b>130 100%</b>	<b>71 100%</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>100%</b>

# PROBATION

## PROBATION STATISTICS 1999 – 2003

The number of juveniles on Probation has been decreasing in recent years. Since 2001, the number on standard probation has dropped by 11.1%, and the number on JIPS by 10.7%. However, the time juveniles stay on probation has been increasing since 2000: Standard Probation by 23 days and JIPS 42 days.







# PROBATION

## A DAY ON STANDARD PROBATION 12-01-2003

4,267 Juveniles were on Standard Probation

Most Severe Adjudicated Felony	Violent	Grand Theft	Obstr	Drugs	Peace	No Felony Adjudication	Total
Total Juveniles	317	959	43	165	249	2,534	4,267
Percentage	7.4%	22.5%	1.0%	3.9%	5.8%	59.4%	100%



Age		
12 & Under	75	1.8%
13 Years	203	4.8%
14 Years	453	10.6%
15 Years	930	21.8%
16 Years	1,176	27.6%
17 Years	1,430	33.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Months on Probation		
0-6 Months	2,221	52.1%
7-12 Months	949	22.2%
13-18 Months	489	11.5%
19-24 Months	276	6.5%
25 + Months	332	7.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>100.0%</b>




# PROBATION

## A DAY ON JUVENILE INTENSIVE PROBATION (JIPS) 12-01-2003


543 Juveniles were on JIPS Probation

Most Severe Adjudicated Felony	Violent	Grand Theft	Obstr	Drugs	No Felony Peace Adjudication	Total
Total Juveniles	58	258	11	38	41	543
Percentage	10.7%	47.5%	2.0%	7.0%	7.6%	100%


**Number of Felony Adjudications**




**Number of Prior Complaints**



**Ethnicity**



**Gender**



Age		
12 & Under	4	.07%
13 Years	12	2.2%
14 Years	58	10.7%
15 Years	93	17.1%
16 Years	163	30.0%
17 Years	213	39.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Months on Probation		
0-6 Months	338	62.2%
7 Months	115	21.2%
13-18 Months	47	8.7%
19-24 Months	21	3.9%
25 + Months	22	4.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


## ACCOUNTABILITY

When a juvenile is referred for a violation of the law, the Probation Department is required to hold him accountable for his actions. Some options available could be requiring him to pay restitution to a victim, perform community service work, pay a fine or attend an education program that addresses a particular problem.


If a juvenile does not comply with the sanction imposed, he is subject to further action, such as a violation of probation, or filing of a petition which could result in being put on probation.

The charts on this and the next page show how juveniles have been held accountable, and how they have complied with orders to work in the community, attend educational programs, pay fines or pay restitution.

### MONETARY PENALTY 1999 – 2003




### RESTITUTION 1999 - 2003




\* Ordered amount is the total amount the court has ordered to be paid.

\*\* Assessed amount is the amount to be paid in the specified year


## ACCOUNTABILITY WORK HOURS 1999-2003



## EDUCATIONAL COUNSELING PROGRAMS 1999 - 2003




## OTHER CONSEQUENCES 1999 - 2003




## TRENDS: COMMITMENTS AND ADULT PROSECUTIONS

Juveniles who are committed to the Department of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC) or prosecuted as adults, present the most serious challenges to the juvenile justice system. These are juveniles whom judges and probation officers consider the highest risk to the community. When a juvenile is committed or prosecuted as an adult, the Juvenile Court relinquishes supervision of that juvenile. The tables on this page show how many of these cases there have been over the past 5 years.

Commitments to ADJC decreased dramatically in recent years. Although they increased in 2003, they are still only 81% of the 1998 total, and only 58% of the 1994 total. Awards have also decreased. Awards are cases in which a juvenile previously committed is adjudicated for a new offense and returned to ADJC for supervision. The 92 juveniles awarded in 2003 were only 36% of the 1997 figure of 256.





The number of juveniles prosecuted as adults has also been declining. In 1997 new legislation mandated that some juveniles be prosecuted as adults (based on their age, offense history, and presenting offense). This resulted in an all-time high of 616 adult prosecutions in 1998 (81 remands and 535 direct files). Since then, the number of adult prosecutions has dropped each year, and in 2003 was only 39% of the 1997 figure.




## COMMITMENT PROFILE 2003


In 2003, 362 juveniles were committed to the Department of Juvenile Corrections



### Most Severe Commitment Offense



### Months Ordered in Secure Confinement



Age at Time of Commitment to ADJC		
13 Years	3	0.8%
14 Years	25	6.9%
15 Years	72	19.9%
16 Years	118	32.6%
17 Years	144	39.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of Felony Adjudications wh			d
1 Felony	142	39.2%	
2 Felonies	118	32.6%	
3 Felonies	45	12.4%	
4-5 Felonies	3	0.8%	
None	54	14.9%	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>100%</b>	

## JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS

Not all juveniles accused of illegal acts are prosecuted in Juvenile Court. Arizona law requires that under certain conditions (based on the offense alleged and the juvenile's age and offense history) the county attorney must file charges against the juvenile in adult criminal court. In certain other situations the state may file adult charges. These are referred to as **Direct Files** and came into law in July 1997.


If the juvenile and/or his offense history do not meet the requirements for a Direct File, the state may file a Petition for Transfer, in which the state is asking the Juvenile Court to "**remand**" the juvenile to criminal court for prosecution as an adult. Since "direct files" came into law in 1997, the number of juveniles remanded has dropped from a high of 447 in 1996 to 54 in 2003.

### DIRECT FILES


In 2003, 241 juveniles were charged with offenses that were "Direct Filed" in adult criminal court. These juveniles generated at least 254 adult petitions. The data on 34 of these complaints is missing; that is, we know the complaints were set for adult prosecution, but we do not know if charges were ever filed.

	Age When Apprehended				
	14	15	16	17	Total
<b>Female</b>	0 .0%	0 0%	2 2.6%	4 2.9%	6 2.5%
<b>Male</b>	4 100.0%	24 100.0%	75 97.4%	132 97.1%	235 97.5%
	4 100.0%	24 100.0%	77 100.0%	136 100.0%	241 100.0%


**Ethnicity**




**Most Severe Offense**



**Previous Felony Adjudications**



**Legal Status when Apprehended**







## JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS

### REMANDS

Juvenile Court judges ruled on 105 petitions for transfer involving 99 juveniles in 2002. They granted 59 of those petitions resulting in 54 juveniles being remanded for criminal prosecution as adults

	Age When Remanded			
	15	16	17	Total
<b>Female</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 10.9%	5 9.3%
<b>Male</b>	4 100.0%	4 100.0%	41 89.1%	49 90.7%
<b>Total</b>	4 100.0%	4 100.0%	46 100.0%	54 100.0%



## DIVERSION

Most illegal activity by juveniles involves children who are first or second time offenders charged with relatively minor illegal acts. There is a good chance they will never be referred again. For the most part, these are the types of juveniles assigned to diversion programs. In a diversion program a juvenile can satisfy his obligation to the state (and sometimes the victim) without going through a formal court process. To satisfy his obligation a juvenile must:

- Acknowledge responsibility for the illegal act and
- Participate in unpaid community service work and/or an approved counseling, education, rehabilitation, or supervision program;
- Pay restitution to the victim; or
- Pay a monetary assessment

If the juvenile complies with the requirements, the County Attorney cannot file formal charges. If the juvenile does not comply, the case is submitted to the County Attorney for review. This could result in the filing of a petition alleging delinquency or incorrigibility.

The juvenile probation department, several cities, schools and private enterprises administer an array of diversion programs. The County Attorney's office determines which offenses are eligible for diversion; these are misdemeanor or status offenses, and sometimes first offender marijuana possession.

Juveniles are assigned to any one of several types of diversion programs, depending on where they live and the type of offense alleged. Here are some of the programs and situations they might be involved with:

**City Diversion Programs:** Several cities in Maricopa County operate or fund diversion programs. Generally these programs receive the cases of first offenders for truancy, status, or liquor offenses. Phoenix, Mesa, Gilbert, Tempe, Scottsdale, Peoria, and Glendale have diversion programs.

**CUTS:** The "Court Unified Truancy Suppression" program is a cooperative effort between the Juvenile Probation Department and several schools to work with truant students and their families. The Probation Officer meets the juvenile and family on campus and works directly with school personnel to (1) develop consequences that are completed at the school and (2) assist the family in maintaining good attendance.

**Drug Diversion:** First time offenders apprehended for possession of marijuana are eligible to participate in a drug education and prevention program. If they complete the program the County Attorney does not file a petition as would normally be done with a felony offense.

**Limited Jurisdiction Courts:** Justice Courts in many communities review citations for minor offenses like possession of alcohol or tobacco, traffic violations, or curfew. Juveniles are fined or given consequences just as with other Diversion methodologies.

**JCORPS:** Many juveniles who are required to perform unpaid community service work do it through JCORPS. They clean up graffiti, pick up roadside litter, help build and refurbish homes, and work in food banks. JCOPRS provides sites for juveniles to do community work, brings them to the site, and supervises them while there.

**Teen Court:** Teen Courts use peer relationships to resolve a juvenile's illegal activity. A juvenile assigned to a Teen Court must acknowledge responsibility before a jury of his peers. The jury then decides the requirements for resolving the charges. The jury is trained and supervised by adults familiar with the juvenile justice system. Both the juvenile and parents must agree to participate in Teen Court before the case is assigned.



## DIVERSION CONSEQUENCES

The following table shows the many different kinds of consequences given to juveniles in Diversion. The table on the following page profiles the juveniles assigned diversion consequences.

There is not a one-to-one relationship among juveniles, complaints, and diversion consequences. A juvenile can be given more than one consequences for a single complaint, and occasionally two complaints can be dealt with simultaneously and receive the same consequence(s).

In 2003 10,633 juveniles were given 18,360 consequences for 11,832 diversion-eligible complaints and citations.


	Consq Completed?		Total
	NO	YES	
Alcohol Related Program	<b>12</b> 2.5%	<b>470</b> 97.5%	<b>482</b> 100.0%
Apology Letter	<b>30</b> 8.2%	<b>335</b> 91.8%	<b>365</b> 100.0%
Apply for Employment	<b>8</b> 80.0%	<b>2</b> 20.0%	<b>10</b> 100.0%
Attend School	<b>8</b> 22.2%	<b>28</b> 77.8%	<b>36</b> 100.0%
Written Assignment	<b>95</b> 16.2%	<b>492</b> 83.8%	<b>587</b> 100.0%
Counseling	<b>305</b> 14.2%	<b>1843</b> 85.8%	<b>2148</b> 100.0%
City Diversion Program	<b>576</b> 38.7%	<b>911</b> 61.3%	<b>1487</b> 100.0%
CUTS Truancy Program	<b>573</b> 38.1%	<b>931</b> 61.9%	<b>1504</b> 100.0%
Drug Diversion Program	<b>233</b> 18.2%	<b>1044</b> 81.8%	<b>1277</b> 100.0%
Education Program	<b>243</b> 12.3%	<b>1735</b> 87.7%	<b>1978</b> 100.0%
Family Violence Program	<b>23</b> 13.5%	<b>148</b> 86.5%	<b>171</b> 100.0%
Consequence Given by a City or Justice Court	<b>0</b> .0%	<b>681</b> 100.0%	<b>681</b> 100.0%
Life Sentence Program	<b>2</b> 5.9%	<b>32</b> 94.1%	<b>34</b> 100.0%
National Correctional Training Institute Program	<b>508</b> 15.3%	<b>2814</b> 84.7%	<b>3322</b> 100.0%
TASC Drug Program	<b>2</b> 4.8%	<b>40</b> 95.2%	<b>42</b> 100.0%
Teen Court	<b>14</b> 4.3%	<b>312</b> 95.7%	<b>326</b> 100.0%
Act as a Tutor	<b>222</b> 49.7%	<b>225</b> 50.3%	<b>447</b> 100.0%
Work Hours	<b>636</b> 22.9%	<b>2138</b> 77.1%	<b>2774</b> 100.0%
Miscellaneous Juvenile Probation Department Program	<b>7</b> 18.4%	<b>31</b> 81.6%	<b>38</b> 100.0%
Miscellaneous	<b>4</b> 10.0%	<b>36</b> 90.0%	<b>40</b> 100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3501</b> 19.7%	<b>14248</b> 80.3%	<b>17749</b> 100.0%

## DIVERSION


### JUVENILES ASSIGNED TO DIVERSION

These tables profile the 10,633 juveniles who were given Diversion consequences in 2003. If a juvenile was involved in Diversion more than once, we counted his age at the first time he was referred, and counted only the most serious of the offenses involved.


**Ethnicity**





**Gender**



**Most Severe Offense in Diversion**



**Complaint Resolved In Diversion**



## TREATMENT

Each year juvenile probation officers refer thousands of juveniles to various programs in the community. The programs vary from individual or group counseling sessions to specialized residential treatment centers. The table below shows the number of delinquent and incorrigible juveniles who were referred to various treatment programs in 2003. It is possible for a juvenile to become involved in more than one program, or be involved in the same program more than one time. The table counts a juvenile only one time if he is involved in a program more than once, but if he is involved with more than one program, he is counted only once in each program.

<b>Counseling Funding Sources</b>	<b>Juveniles</b>
Competency Restoration	311
CUTS Fund	952
Diversion Funds	2,050
Domestic Violence	5
Family Counseling Bill	458
Family Counseling SOAR	106
Functional Family Therapy	160
Home Based JIPS	190
Home Based Counseling Services	1243
John C. Lincoln	19
JAIBG Grant	66
JIPS Counseling Fund Source	345
Multisystemic Therapy	36
PIC-Act Non-Residential and Drug Programs	3,966
RAFT Intensive In-Home Program	91
SOAR (Status Offer Alternative Response) Fund	106
TASC Drug Program	52
Therapy Fund	5,202
Therapy Probation	1

<b>Out-Of-Home Treatment Programs</b>	<b>Juveniles</b>
Alternatives to Detention	131
Day/Evening Support	262
Family Violence Respite	48
Residential Treatment	495

## RECIDIVISM


### PROBABILITY OF NOT GETTING A NEW OFFENSE WITHIN ONE YEAR

Recidivism is the variable most commonly used to judge how a juvenile has responded to some intervention by the juvenile justice system. In this section we look at a juvenile's performance for one year from the time he completes involvement with the Probation Department. All the tables on this and the following page show the likelihood that a juvenile will not be apprehended for an illegal act within that year.

Juveniles who are 17 years old at the time of completion are not included, because they will not have an entire year available. We also do not count violations of probation as being recidivism.

The first table looks at all offenses received in a year and shows what percentage of juveniles who have gone referral-free for 365 days. "First Timers" includes only juveniles who generated their first referral in that year. "All Juveniles" includes the first referral in that year of any juvenile regardless of his referral history. First Timers always have a better chance of success, simply because most juveniles who are apprehended, learn from their experience and are never referred again.


**Percent of Any Juvenile Going One Year without Producing Another Complaint**



## RECIDIVISM DIVERSION

By law juveniles who are apprehended for illegal activity must complete some consequence, which could be in a Diversion Program, or through placement on Probation. The following two tables show the probability of not being apprehended for a new offense for all the juveniles who successfully completed either Diversion or Probation in a given year. The time starts when the juvenile completes the program and runs for 365 days. Again, juveniles who are seventeen at time of completion are not included.


**Percent of Juveniles with no Complaints or Felonies within One Year of  
Successful Completion of Diversion**



# RECIDIVISM



## PROBATION

Percent of Juveniles with no Complaints or Felonies within One Year of  
Successful Release from Probation




## RECIDIVISM CLASS OF 2003

In 2003, approximately 45,362 juveniles in Maricopa County turned 18 and became adults. The following is a cohort analysis of these young adults born in 1985. From this cohort 15,303 or 33.75% of these juveniles were referred for illegal activity during their juvenile years.



Age at First Complaint by Number of Total Complaints		
Age at First Complaint	Juveniles	Average Number of Complaints
8	84	4.7
9	144	5.0
10	279	5.1
11	503	4.5
12	1,034	4.1
13	1,739	3.6
14	2,543	2.8
15	3,034	2.2
16	2,831	1.6
17	3,111	1.2
Total	15,302	2.5



Number of Juveniles	Percent of Cohort	Number of Complaints
30,059	66.30%	0
8,384	18.50%	1
2,763	6.10%	2
1,273	2.80%	3
743	1.60%	4
518	1.10%	5
1,622	3.60%	6 or More
		Total Juveniles with Complaints
15,303	33.70%	

Number of Juveniles	Percent of Cohort	
5288	11.7%	had a felony offense
1697	3.7%	had two or more felony offenses
1249	2.8%	had a violent offense
189	0.4%	had two or more violent offenses
2183	4.8%	had a drug offense
384	0.8%	had two or more drug offenses
4510	9.9%	were placed in probation
381	0.8%	were committed to Dept of Juv Corrections
397	0.9%	were prosecuted as adults


## VICTIMS AND RESTITUTION

Restitution is the act of returning or restoring to a victim (either a person or a legal entity) what is theirs. The Court can order full or partial restitution to a victim after the juvenile has been adjudicated (found guilty) delinquent.


After the juvenile's first court hearing the Victim Services Unit at the Juvenile Probation Department sends a letter to the victim(s) of the crime the juvenile has been charged with. Victim Services keeps the victim(s) informed of all developments in the case, and tells them how to go about requesting restitution. Victims must submit a verified Victim Statement to the Court to receive restitution.

The charts below show the number of victims that have been contacted each year by the Victim Services Unit, the number juveniles who have paid restitution, and the amount they have paid.


**Number of Victims Contacted**



**Number of Juveniles Paying Restitution**



**Amount of Restitution Paid**






## COURT ACTIVITY 2003 PETITIONS FILED

Juvenile judges and commissioners make decisions about adoption and dependency matters as well as delinquency and incorrigibility. The data on the next three pages show the number of the different kinds of petitions and hearings which occupy the Juvenile Court Calendar.

The significant changes in delinquency petitions over the past three years are a result of procedural changes in the processing of citations: In 1999 they were heard as delinquency matters by juvenile court judges and commissioners, rather than juvenile hearing officers throughout the county. In 2001, as more cases were being cited directly to the juvenile court center they were being diverted to probation officers. This area will continue to change as the process is improved to handle the increasing number of citations being cited directly to the juvenile court.


The decrease in severance petitions is a result of a procedural change for dependency wards. If a dependent juvenile is to be adopted and a severance is necessary, it can now be accomplished through filing of a motion, rather than a separate petition. This change began in late 1999.



Petitions Filed	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Adoption	937	999	956	935	929
Delinquency	15,217	17,356	15,847	14,400	14,244
Dependency	1,063	1,003	952	1,145	1,621
Severance	500	323	288	265	246
Traffic	55	0	0	0	0
Adult Criminal	485	396	365	365	258
Miscellaneous	525	520	534	532	660
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18,727</b>	<b>20,596</b>	<b>18,942</b>	<b>17,642</b>	<b>17,958</b>

## COURT ACTIVITY

### 2003 COURT HEARING SUMMARY








A hearing is considered as “Held” if it has a recorded start and end time. A Completed hearing is one showing a result of completed or under advisement; in other words, it is not continued to another date.

In 2003, a total of 80,331 hearings were scheduled, a total of 58,011 hearings were held, and 53,392 were completed.

Almost two thirds (69%) of hearings scheduled were for delinquency matters.

# COURT ACTIVITY

## COURT HEARINGS SCHEDULED 1999 – 2003



## TOP 20 ZIP CODES

This table focuses on the 20 ZIP codes in Maricopa County that generated the most complaints in 2003. Note that it portrays where the juvenile lived at the time of the offense, not where the offense took place. The ZIPS are ranked one through twenty and compared to their ranking and number of complaints five years earlier in 1999.

The last column shows the rate at which complaints rose or fell during the five years. Areas that have increased have a percentage greater than 100. They are in Chandler, Mesa, Central Phoenix, Avondale, Tempe, and Glendale. Overall complaints from these 20 ZIP codes have decreased by almost 6% since 1999.

Rank 2003	ZIP	City	Total 2003	Rank 1999	Total 1999	2003 as a Percentage of 1999
1	85033	Maryvale	1,063	1	1,278	83.3%
2	85301	Glendale	1,042	2	1,219	85.5%
3	85225	Chandler	964	15	602	160.1%
4	85035	Maryvale	921	5	1,009	91.3%
5	85204	Mesa	840	8	804	104.5%
6	85009	Central Phoenix	811	4	1,087	74.6%
7	85040	South Phoenix	807	3	1,132	71.3%
8	85008	Central Phoenix	764	7	965	79.2%
9	85201	Mesa	747	9	748	99.9%
10	85041	South Phoenix	678	13	680	99.7%
11	85224	Chandler	671	6	1,002	67.0%
12	85032	North Phoenix	640	11	732	87.4%
13	85017	Central Phoenix	618	17	534	115.7%
14	85323	Avondale	606	30	397	152.6%
15	85006	Central Phoenix	605	12	714	84.7%
16	85283	Tempe	585	16	549	106.6%
17	85282	Tempe	575	19	508	113.2%
18	85015	Central Phoenix	551	10	741	74.4%
19	85281	Tempe	548	24	431	127.1%
20	85308	Glendale	540	33	351	153.8%
<b>Total</b>			14,576		15,483	
<b>All Complaints</b>			34,430		33,641	
<b>% of All Complaints from Top 20</b>			42.3%		46.02%	

## MARICOPA COUNTY ZIP CODES OF RESIDENCE BY CITY FOR 2003 REFERRALS

City	ZIP	Grand Theft					Petty Theft			Status	Admin	Totals
		Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft				
Aguila	85320	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
Apache Junction	85220	5	7	7	3	11	23	19	11	2	2	88
Arlington	85322	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	6
Avondale	85323	17	80	62	50	40	142	123	86	6	6	606
Buckeye	85326	20	16	23	12	37	89	27	55	0	0	279
Carefree	85377	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	5
Cashion	85329	2	8	8	2	3	12	5	16	0	0	56
Cave Creek	85327	0	0	3	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	7
Cave Creek	85331	3	4	5	13	11	46	9	10	0	0	101
Chandler	85224	17	57	63	36	51	197	55	193	2	2	671
Chandler	85225	25	102	98	59	67	246	115	248	4	4	964
Chandler	85226	8	37	25	19	22	108	45	84	3	3	351
Chandler	85227	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4
Chandler	85244	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Chandler	85248	3	11	4	12	26	71	33	37	1	1	198
Chandler	85249	3	10	4	5	17	38	15	25	1	1	118
El Mirage	85335	17	65	49	42	32	74	50	75	2	2	406
Fountain Hills	85268	7	18	12	12	5	72	20	13	0	0	159
Fountain Hills	85269	1	1	4	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	11
Gila Bend	85337	0	0	2	4	1	10	1	10	0	0	28
Gilbert	85233	11	29	38	29	27	87	39	73	1	1	334
Gilbert	85234	16	22	31	29	39	102	59	63	1	1	362
Gilbert	85296	16	26	17	35	25	123	53	40	1	1	336
Gilbert	85297	4	5	5	6	7	30	14	6	0	0	77
Gilbert	85299	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Glendale	85301	66	106	122	81	73	232	128	232	2	2	1,042
Glendale	85302	15	36	60	26	45	88	48	46	2	2	366
Glendale	85303	22	26	55	46	26	87	57	61	2	2	382
Glendale	85304	13	15	27	22	23	77	41	37	1	1	256
Glendale	85305	1	3	6	5	1	21	12	6	1	1	56
Glendale	85306	11	19	22	18	16	61	26	35	1	1	209
Glendale	85307	3	8	9	10	5	20	14	13	0	0	82
Glendale	85308	13	45	51	47	44	160	81	98	1	1	540
Glendale	85310	2	17	16	17	10	61	16	22	1	1	162
Goodyear	85338	3	23	11	20	19	45	27	20	1	1	169
Laveen	85339	2	10	13	1	5	17	7	14	1	1	70
Litchfield Park	85340	5	8	10	12	4	22	14	6	2	2	83
Mesa	85201	15	75	85	41	83	207	95	137	9	9	747
Mesa	85202	12	26	44	27	45	150	47	48	2	2	401
Mesa	85203	14	43	23	36	39	126	51	92	8	8	432
Mesa	85204	26	83	73	63	76	274	127	113	5	5	840
Mesa	85205	13	18	41	28	36	120	70	68	2	2	396
Mesa	85206	4	19	9	15	21	59	23	26	2	2	178
Mesa	85207	8	26	26	24	29	86	40	32	2	2	273
Mesa	85208	22	38	31	34	29	124	60	82	3	3	423
Mesa	85210	9	41	59	35	46	131	54	70	1	1	446
Mesa	85212	9	15	5	16	13	37	30	9	1	1	135

## MARICOPA COUNTY ZIP CODES OF RESIDENCE BY CITY FOR 2003 REFERRALS

City	ZIP	Grand					Petty			Status	Admin	Totals
		Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft				
Mesa	85213	6	20	18	36	23	105	47	16	0	0	271
Mesa	85214	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Mesa	85215	3	4	12	5	10	44	13	14	1	1	106
Mesa	85216	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mesa	85236	0	2	3	5	8	17	12	6	0	0	53
Mesa	85274	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mesa	85275	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
Morristown	85342	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	8
New River	85087	1	0	2	4	6	17	3	1	0	0	34
Palo Verde	85343	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4
Peoria	85345	23	71	53	35	62	111	102	73	1	1	531
Peoria	85381	7	8	15	9	22	55	25	19	0	0	160
Peoria	85382	13	21	23	12	25	81	30	28	2	2	235
Peoria	85383	2	6	5	2	6	27	8	3	0	0	59
Peoria	85385	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4
Phoenix	85003	4	25	15	4	4	18	10	26	3	3	109
Phoenix	85004	5	8	11	4	3	6	5	9	0	0	51
Phoenix	85006	25	76	86	55	40	107	56	151	9	9	605
Phoenix	85007	12	47	25	13	28	34	33	58	1	1	251
Phoenix	85008	44	88	101	41	48	149	103	186	4	4	764
Phoenix	85009	37	72	81	38	47	219	86	231	0	0	811
Phoenix	85012	0	6	4	2	3	5	3	2	1	1	26
Phoenix	85013	9	17	18	14	14	36	36	30	4	4	178
Phoenix	85014	12	41	35	19	14	59	58	80	3	3	321
Phoenix	85015	30	49	54	27	38	89	117	141	6	6	551
Phoenix	85016	17	30	18	16	23	81	37	90	1	1	313
Phoenix	85017	15	75	55	29	33	106	78	225	2	2	618
Phoenix	85018	8	20	34	15	19	69	37	47	2	2	251
Phoenix	85019	24	55	44	34	15	73	51	162	0	0	458
Phoenix	85020	13	36	26	20	20	63	52	85	0	0	315
Phoenix	85021	25	49	43	14	19	70	59	131	3	3	413
Phoenix	85022	11	29	19	15	11	100	38	66	2	2	291
Phoenix	85023	9	34	27	24	20	66	56	73	3	3	312
Phoenix	85024	3	8	22	15	8	41	28	22	3	3	150
Phoenix	85027	24	35	43	34	24	80	56	89	2	2	387
Phoenix	85028	7	4	6	8	14	46	18	13	1	1	117
Phoenix	85029	8	39	43	32	34	84	73	98	5	5	416
Phoenix	85031	30	44	51	28	27	113	66	172	2	2	533
Phoenix	85032	31	53	43	40	37	180	86	164	6	6	640
Phoenix	85033	46	98	113	67	38	204	131	364	2	2	1,063
Phoenix	85034	4	24	42	6	12	30	15	49	0	0	182
Phoenix	85035	39	83	93	44	46	168	137	303	8	8	921
Phoenix	85037	21	32	57	26	34	106	82	75	1	1	434
Phoenix	85039	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85040	47	109	70	45	68	179	149	137	3	3	807
Phoenix	85041	29	103	77	23	51	139	74	179	3	3	678
Phoenix	85042	6	24	35	14	11	41	31	27	4	4	193
Phoenix	85043	15	20	30	20	15	59	27	67	0	0	253
Phoenix	85044	10	17	10	9	21	65	36	35	0	0	203

## MARICOPA COUNTY ZIP CODES OF RESIDENCE BY CITY FOR 2003 REFERRALS

City	ZIP	Violent	Grand Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Phoenix	85045	1	2	6	1	1	3	0	14	0	28
Phoenix	85048	5	6	9	12	5	46	28	31	1	143
Phoenix	85050	1	8	11	6	9	37	13	15	0	100
Phoenix	85051	16	40	55	30	19	83	79	107	1	430
Phoenix	85053	9	28	40	14	13	52	28	48	1	233
Phoenix	85054	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	6
Phoenix	85075	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Phoenix	85080	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85085	2	0	1	2	1	6	3	1	0	16
Phoenix	85086	5	3	5	11	5	39	6	2	0	76
Queen Creek	85242	8	25	16	15	17	52	20	15	4	172
Scottsdale	85250	4	6	8	6	22	25	25	13	1	110
Scottsdale	85251	5	24	25	16	28	68	26	43	3	238
Scottsdale	85253	1	5	1	2	4	29	8	1	1	52
Scottsdale	85254	8	7	8	19	12	129	25	18	4	230
Scottsdale	85255	1	3	4	6	1	51	7	10	1	84
Scottsdale	85257	9	30	54	30	23	107	28	51	1	333
Scottsdale	85258	2	9	0	9	7	37	7	8	1	80
Scottsdale	85259	1	20	4	11	24	97	17	6	0	180
Scottsdale	85260	4	18	7	16	19	97	22	17	0	200
Scottsdale	85262	1	3	2	4	4	27	1	5	0	47
Scottsdale	85264	2	2	4	3	1	10	1	1	1	25
Sun City	85351	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	4
Sun City	85373	0	1	2	1	1	8	2	2	0	17
Sun City West	85375	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Surprise	85374	13	21	22	20	26	97	37	92	5	333
Surprise	85379	1	3	2	5	5	11	3	5	0	35
Surprise	85387	0	3	2	1	4	5	8	1	1	25
Tempe	85280	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tempe	85281	17	61	59	38	36	113	66	156	2	548
Tempe	85282	11	41	34	68	44	136	80	159	2	575
Tempe	85283	27	53	44	58	63	116	72	147	5	585
Tempe	85284	0	8	4	7	7	30	19	35	0	110
Tempe	85285	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
Tolleson	85353	10	16	13	24	24	36	33	37	2	195
Tonopah	85354	1	2	2	2	2	11	2	2	0	24
Waddell	85355	0	2	1	0	3	4	1	3	0	14
Wickenburg	85358	0	2	3	1	6	1	4	2	0	19
Wickenburg	85390	0	2	13	1	1	9	4	10	0	40
Wittmann	85361	3	7	4	5	3	19	6	3	0	50
Youngtown	85363	3	7	9	5	3	8	4	11	0	50

## ARIZONA COUNTIES ZIP CODES FOR 2003 REFERRALS

City	Grand					Petty					Totals
	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin		
Apache	0	3	1	0	0	2	5	3	1	15	
Cochise	0	0	2	1	0	3	3	1	1	11	
Coconino	2	5	4	4	1	8	9	6	0	39	
Gila	1	3	2	1	5	11	6	1	7	37	
Graham	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
La Paz	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	
Mohave	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	10	
Navajo	1	1	2	0	1	9	14	11	13	52	
Pima	3	12	2	5	2	35	7	15	3	84	
Pinal	5	19	7	5	7	50	51	16	9	169	
Santa Cruz	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	
Yavapai	0	3	12	1	1	15	2	13	0	47	
Yuma	2	0	0	0	2	5	1	3	0	13	
Totals	15	48	33	18	20	145	102	71	34	486	



# SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

## ELEMENTARY DISTRICTS

School District	Violent	Grand Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Petty Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Alhambra	22	28	27	32	19	43	64	233	2	470
Avondale	2	17	12	11	2	9	35	23	0	111
Balsz	9	8	11	7	1	10	14	12	0	72
Buckeye	2	1	4	2	11	13	7	17	0	57
Cartwright	26	35	34	33	29	48	81	209	0	495
Creighton	10	22	9	15	12	19	18	69	2	176
Fowler	5	1	5	2	8	14	10	28	2	75
Glendale	26	34	34	27	33	49	51	153	0	407
Higley	7	11	17	9	12	30	14	9	2	111
Isaac	15	21	15	15	14	35	35	142	1	293
Kyrene	8	6	3	15	10	18	22	35	0	117
Laveen	5	9	2	6	1	5	4	21	0	53
Liberty	0	2	1	4	0	5	3	3	0	18
Litchfield	1	2	3	12	0	4	9	2	0	33
Littleton	1	1	4	0	1	9	9	7	0	32
Madison	6	3	0	9	7	13	15	42	0	95
Morristown	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Murphy	2	5	0	3	1	2	8	7	0	28
Nadaburg	1	4	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	10
Osborn	10	12	17	13	16	8	46	47	0	169
Palo Verde	3	6	1	1	0	4	4	15	0	34
Phoenix	19	42	43	32	22	26	56	121	1	362
Riverside	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	8
Roosevelt	11	38	28	13	18	27	57	91	2	285
Tempe	10	44	14	73	36	72	78	147	0	474
Tolleson	0	6	0	12	2	5	14	14	0	53
Union	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	6
Washington	28	59	46	40	17	70	121	455	1	837
Wilson	5	10	10	8	5	17	12	11	0	78
<b>Totals</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>1,914</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4,961</b>

## SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

### HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS

School District	Grand						Petty			Totals
	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	
Aqua Fria	4	14	20	15	21	39	26	34	0	173
Buckey Union	4	18	11	2	6	29	21	12	0	103
Glendale Union	44	142	130	102	57	207	226	137	0	1,045
Phoenix Union	117	379	324	95	108	578	437	1,246	9	3,293
Tempe Union	53	92	117	68	106	202	158	254	5	1,055
Tolleson Union	20	37	60	19	33	147	69	112	1	498
<b>Totals</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>682</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>1,202</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>1,795</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6,167</b>


### UNIFIED DISTRICTS

School District	Grand						Petty			Totals
	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	
Apache Junction	0	0	4	1	4	12	22	3	3	49
Cave Creek	5	6	6	15	33	28	7	10	0	110
Chandler	27	88	45	70	72	229	166	297	2	996
Deer Valley	23	54	68	63	56	170	134	182	1	751
Dysart	24	41	38	29	34	78	52	109	0	405
Fountain Hills	7	15	10	17	9	23	17	6	0	104
Gila Bend	0	7	2	2	5	2	1	1	0	20
Gilbert	36	83	45	80	96	167	177	128	3	815
Mesa	76	261	245	225	342	641	393	613	14	2,810
Paradise Valley	32	88	85	72	60	267	183	386	2	1,175
Peoria	47	110	102	93	99	185	230	112	0	978
Queen Creek	7	0	1	2	5	17	6	7	0	45
Scottsdale	26	88	34	72	101	282	94	125	2	824
Wickenburg	0	17	7	5	10	11	15	4	0	69
<b>Totals</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>858</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>746</b>	<b>926</b>	<b>2,112</b>	<b>1,497</b>	<b>1,983</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9,151</b>


### MISCELLANEOUS SCHOOLS

School District	Grand						Petty			Totals
	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	
Charter Schools	156	411	760	218	354	815	460	359	12	3,545
Colleges	4	12	15	2	6	26	13	4	0	82
East Valley Inst Tech	1	3	10	4	5	13	4	3	0	43
Maricopa County Regional Spec	28	57	115	52	28	95	72	70	8	525
Other	55	107	146	66	50	93	71	38	2	628
Residential Treatment Facility	27	19	116	47	14	22	17	13	3	278
<b>Totals</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5,101</b>

# JUVENILE REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE



# JUVENILE VIOLENT REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE



# JUVENILE DRUG REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE

